

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	M08940	Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received:	05/24/12	Project:	Metro Self Monitor M08940, F&BI 205372
Date Extracted:	05/29/12	Lab ID:	205372-01 x10
Date Analyzed:	05/29/12	Data File:	205372-01 x10.077
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	AP

Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Lower	Upper
Germanium	89	Limit:	Limit:
		60	125

Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	333
Nickel	311
Copper	539
Zinc	20.7

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received:	Not Applicable	Project:	Metro Self Monitor M08940, F&BI 205372
Date Extracted:	05/29/12	Lab ID:	I2-345 mb
Date Analyzed:	05/29/12	Data File:	I2-345 mb.074
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	AP

Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Lower	Upper
Germanium	84	Limit:	Limit:
		60	125

Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	<1
Nickel	<1
Copper	<1
Zinc	<1

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 05/31/12

Date Received: 05/24/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08940, F&BI 205372

**QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS
FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES
FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8**

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Percent Recovery LCSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	97	80-119	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	98	83-119	0
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	95	81-120	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	99	82-120	3

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 - More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr - The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

212

REMARKS:

☐ Standard 2 (Weekly)
☒ Standard 2 (Daily)
Each chapter submitted by:

EXERCISES:

- ☐ Diagrams after 30 days
- ☐ Diagram examples
- ☐ Will call with interpretations

[illegible]

Samples received at 19 'C

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D.
Charlene Morrow, M.S.
Yelena Aravkina, M.S.
Bradley T. Benson, B.S.
Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West
Seattle, WA 98119-2029
TEL: (206) 285-8282
FAX: (206) 283-5044
e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 31, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager
Alaskan Copper Works
628 South Hanford
Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 24, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08940, F&BI 205372 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.



Michael Erdahl
Project Manager

Enclosures
ACU0531R.DOC